

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/552,579	Applicant(s) MORIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Theresa Trieu	Art Unit 3748	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Theresa Trieu.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) James E. Armstrong, IV (Reg. No: 42,266).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 February 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*claims 9-18 (see Examiner's Amendment)*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The specification (page 18, line 1 and 2) should be changed in order to correct a typographical error and the title should be amended to be clearly indicative of the invention*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)